

CODEINK

STATE OF OREGON • BUILDING CODES DIVISION

SEPTEMBER/OCTOBER 1998

From the administrator's desk



Joe Brewer,
Administrator

I want to clarify some of the comments I made at OBOA's last annual business meeting. My comments covered a range of issues from organizational changes to legislative concepts, but I believe that mention of a proposed change in the way BCD regulates the modular construction industry generated the most interest. Many of you may have missed my presentation or heard about it secondhand.

For some time now, the division has been struggling to effectively implement third-party plan review and inspection in the modular construction industry. Because of the technical nature of our procedures, there is a natural learning curve for new service providers working with the state. The industry has questioned the level of code compliance required by BCD, indicating that the modular construction industry is regulated to a higher standard than the site-built construction industry.

In a letter dated May 20, a representative of Blazer Industries states, "As manufacturers of prefab buildings built to comply with the Oregon Specialty Codes, we feel that we are unfairly regulated when compared to the construction industry as a whole. We build to the same codes as the site-built segment of our industry and compete regularly with them for projects. Our concern is that we are held to a much more restrictive interpretation and application of the codes due to the current structure of the Oregon Building Codes Division."

From the administrator's desk.....	1
In-training and training programs	2
Technical Advisory Group contacts by program areas	3
Stakeholder meetings	3
Boiler and pressure vessel operating permits required.....	4
Site checks by compliance investigators	5
Permits for fences.....	5
Annual Fire Marshal fire incident report	7
Oregon Structural Specialty Code alert	7
History of building codes adoptions	8
Compliance report.....	9
OMOA fall institute.....	14
Deadline extended for 2000 OMDS proposals.....	14
Fire Marshal's Round Table	14
Board meeting dates	15
Subscription and address corrections	15
Check rule updates on Web	16

Please see "Administrator," Page 6

In-training and training programs



Since adoption of revised inspector certification rules, there has been confusion on the difference between BCD-approved in-training programs and building official-approved training programs to cross-train one and two family dwelling plans examiners and inspectors.

An ***in-training*** program is a division-approved on-the-job training and practical evaluation program designed to qualify a person to sit for an examination in a particular code. Since August 11, 1992, ***in-training*** programs have required updating to include the most recent code changes. Copies of the changes must be submitted to the division for approval and filed with the trainee's records.

In early 1997, a task force was established to review the certification rules. Revised rules went into effect October 1, 1997. The new rules contain additions to the ***in-training*** program, including expansion of the course outline and curriculum description, specified classroom hours, and the number of inspections or plan reviews required. Programs not meeting the minimum requirements need to be updated according to the new rules.

Another new rule covers ***training*** programs for one- and two-family certifications, designed to cross-train inspectors. An applicant must already be certified in at least one area covered by the One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code (Dwelling Code) and have 1,000 hours administering and enforcing the code under that certifica-

tion. The basic requirements for the ***training*** program are the same as those in the ***in-training*** program, with a few exceptions. Under the ***training*** program, the building official determines the content outline and the length of the training program, and notifies the division in writing when the applicant has completed training. ***Training*** programs do not require Building Codes Division approval; however, all jurisdictions that administer ***training*** programs must submit a copy of classroom and field training activities and a description of the method used to evaluate whether the trainee has satisfactorily completed the program. ***Training*** programs must be amended to include all applicable code changes as they become available and the amended program must be filed with the trainee's records at the local jurisdiction.

All applicants applying for certification, whether through a ***training*** program, an ***in-training*** program, or through work experience, must supply documented verification showing that they meet the minimum experience, education, and training requirements established for the certification sought. Inspectors certified under these rules are required to notify the division in writing of address changes.

For more information or for a copy of Oregon administrative rules 918-098-0000 through 918-098-0410 and 918-098-0430, contact Cozette Cooper, certification specialist, at (503) 373-1248 or any member of the Technical Advisory Group (see next page). ■

Technical Advisory Group contacts by program areas

Structural:

Doug Alexander (503) 378-4538

Ravi Mahajan, PE (503) 373-1354

- Structural Specialty Code — includes accessibility and energy provisions

Mechanical and dwelling:

Mike Ewert (503) 373-7529

- One and Two Family Dwelling Specialty Code (Dwelling Code) — structural and mechanical provisions
- Mechanical Specialty Code

Electrical:

Gary Wilson (503) 373-7509

Kearby Sprague (503) 373-1353

- Electrical Specialty Code
- Dwelling Code — electrical provisions

Plumbing:

Terry Swisher (503) 373-7488

Harold DePue (503) 378-4486

- Plumbing Specialty Code
- Dwelling Code — plumbing provisions

Elevator:

Jim Runyan (503) 373-1298

- Elevator Specialty Code

Boilers:

Curt Lundine (503) 373-1216

- ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code

Manufactured structures and parks:

Pat Lewis (503) 373-1266

- Manufactured dwellings and parks
- Recreational vehicles and parks

Customer service representative:

Nanci Johnston (503) 378-5838

- All program areas — includes accessibility

Administration:

Marilyn Intveld (503) 378-4046

- Section support
-

Stakeholder meetings

The September stakeholder meeting will be in Douglas County, the October meeting in Lake County, and the November meeting in Wasco County. Specific sites and dates have not yet been determined, but additional information will be distributed.

These meetings are scheduled to allow our customers to discuss concerns or to ask questions about the building code programs administered by the state. ■

Boiler and pressure vessel operating permits required



Try to imagine the failure of a piece of equipment on which you rely to do your job: Instead of losing a file or simply stopping, the device you operate suddenly explodes, releasing thousands of pounds of energy and scalding steam.

Not a pretty picture, but one that once was common in the workplace.

The Industrial Revolution of the early 1800s depended heavily on steam to power technological development. Steam could be generated almost anywhere — aboard a ship, in a factory, in the woods, or in a railroad locomotive. Like any revolution, this one had its violent side. Boilers in factories exploded with terrifying frequency, with consequent loss of life.

Oregonians have been protected from devastating boiler explosions for more than three-quarters of a century by a statewide program few even knew existed. Since 1921, the Oregon Boiler Safety Program and the people who make it work have been active in preventing accidents involving boilers and pressure vessels. These efforts have been notably effective in Oregon, creating one of the most proactive and effective boiler safety programs in the nation. In fact, Oregon has experienced fewer pressure

equipment accidents than average among all jurisdictions that regulate pressure equipment. This is our measurement of success: that no one is injured or killed by pressure equipment accidents in Oregon.

Like every government service, safety programs have costs. Although of great benefit to all Oregonians, the boiler program is funded solely by the owners and users of the pressure equipment. Funding of the program is accomplished partly through a requirement that owners have operating permits for their equipment. Most people are familiar with operating permits posted in elevators; boilers or pressure vessels require the same type of certification.

Every piece of pressure equipment requiring an operating permit is routinely inspected by highly trained professionals certified by the state as competent to enforce the Oregon Boiler Law. These inspections are performed without additional fees to the owner. As well as protecting the public from accidents, routine inspections benefit owners of boilers and pressure equipment by preventing premature failure and repair costs.

Questions or comments concerning boilers or other pressure equipment should be directed to Curt Lundine, Chief Boiler Inspector, (503) 373-1216. ■

Correction

The toll-free number published in the July/August *Codelink* was incorrect. It is

1-800-442-7457

Permits for fences

Recently Building Codes Division received a call asking when a combination fence and retaining wall needs a permit. The caller's neighbor put up a 10-foot-high combination fence and retaining wall along the property line without a permit. The retaining wall portion of the structure is four feet high without any surcharge against it, and the remaining portion is a six foot fence secured to the top of the retaining wall.

The neighbor's reason for not getting a permit was that a fence six feet high and a retaining wall four feet high (without any surcharge) are exempt from permit requirements. It's true these structures are exempt from permit requirements, **but** only when they're con-

structed as **stand-alone structures**. The code exempts these structures when constructed individually within specified heights because the chances of structural failure aren't great when the components are erected individually, because of limited loads.

Combination fence and retaining walls exceeding a combined total of six feet may fail without proper structural design. To verify adequate footings and connections, local jurisdictions must evaluate proposed designs before issuing permits for such installations.

Questions should be directed to Ravi Mahajan, Technical Advisory Group, (503) 373-1354. ■

Site checks by compliance investigators

The division employs individuals to investigate alleged construction law and building code violations. The investigators also conduct unannounced license checks at locations where construction work is occurring, to see that individuals performing the work are properly licensed and that the job site or work occurring is permitted. The license checks are conducted so as to cause minimal interruption to work in progress. When checking licenses, the investigators

ask to see the worker's license (e.g., journeyman electrician license or apprentice card) or some other form of identification such as a driver's license. If violations are found, the investigators may prepare violation reports, issue citations, or both.

Incidents of workers refusing to display their licenses or to provide identification have been reported. Construction site employees should be informed that Oregon law requires them to display licenses when requested to do so by a BCD representative. ■

Administrator, *continued*

In subsequent discussions with Blazer, we learned that the perception of over-regulation had come by way of feedback to Blazer from the third-party provider. As a point of clarification, Blazer and the third-party provider believe that BCD is enforcing the code in a more restrictive way, not BCD. I learned recently that some at the annual meeting may have understood me to say that BCD was in some way more effective at enforcing the code than local jurisdictions, which was not the focus of my comments at all. In fact, when you compare this perception with BCD's proposed change, it makes no sense. I have often said that enforcement of codes at the local level is most effective.

Coincident was feedback from Building Codes Structures Board member Mike Broomfield. Mike asked that we more effectively integrate modular structures into local planning and zoning processes in order to eliminate confusion that sometimes takes place at the local level. With these two seemingly consistent concerns, I decided to propose a change in the manner in which these structures have been regulated.

I proposed that BCD turn over the plan review and inspection of modular units to be sited in Oregon to the jurisdiction receiving the structure. I believed this action would simultaneously address the concerns of the industry and those expressed by Mr. Broomfield. The proposed action does not mean that every jurisdiction in the state must send inspectors into the modular plants. Jurisdictions receiving a modular structure could have contracted with another jurisdiction, third-party, or the

state to do inspections in the plants. Jurisdictions could also contract for plan review services. The effect of this change would have been to move the modular construction industry to a place where it can enjoy the same level of regulation as the site-built industry and bring these structures into the local planning and zoning process.

We also proposed to change the rules to allow local jurisdictions to charge appropriate fees for the services provided.

Since the annual business meeting in Bend, I have learned that the industry is sponsoring a bill that would separate third-party plan review and inspection from any jurisdictional accountability. My understanding is that the third party would become the building official for the industry. This would be the beginning and probable expansion of privatization of plan review and inspection services because the site-built industry will likely want equal opportunity to use third-party services. Although industry may benefit from privatization, I believe that any move toward privatization without strong sanctions and oversight would be a move in the wrong direction.

I have withdrawn the division's proposal described above and am working with industry and jurisdiction representatives to craft an approach that will address everyone's needs. I remain committed to effectively implementing third-party plan review and inspection for the modular industry in a fashion that does not compromise compliance with our statewide code. ■

Annual Fire Marshal fire incident report



The Office of State Fire Marshal has released its annual report of fire incidents. Of the 54 fire fatalities reported for 1997, 68 percent were the result of human error or negligence. Although this is a two percent decrease from last year, it is still an alarming figure.

Another concern is the absence of working smoke alarms in residences. Working smoke alarms were found in only five of the

35 residences where fatal fires occurred. The majority of injuries and deaths caused by fires occur in residences, according to State Fire Marshal Robert Panuccio, showing that fire safety education and public awareness are still key to reducing the tragic waste of lives. ■

From *The Gated Wye*

Oregon Structural Specialty Code alert



Volume 2 of the 1997 Uniform Building Code (UBC), third printing, contains a third paragraph and an exception to Section 2318.3.1, which was not included in the first and second printings. This change was published as an errata by the International Conference of Building Officials in late March 1998, after the Structural Engineering Committee and the Building Codes Structures Board had completed their public meetings and formal hearing on the proposed Oregon amendments to the 1997 UBC. Consequently, this change did not have any public process and, according to the rules established for adopting specialty codes in Oregon, cannot be adopted without public notice and input. Other errata have been deemed editorial and will be adopted effective October 1.

The Oregon amendments to the third edition of the 1997 UBC will be printed to show the change and will become effective October 1, 1998. If you have the first or second editions of the 1997 UBC, it is important to note this change in your code book:

■ **2318.3.1 Allowable lateral loads.** (*The following is **NOT** adopted in Oregon.*)

In Seismic Zones 3 and 4, toe nails shall not be used to transfer lateral forces in excess of 150 pounds per foot (2188 N/m) from diaphragms to shear walls, drag struts (collectors) or other elements, or from shear walls to other elements.

EXCEPTION: Structures built in accordance with Section 2320.

Questions should be directed to Ravi Mahajan, Technical Advisory Group, (503) 373-1354. ■

History of building codes adoptions

The following chronology lists uniform building codes adopted by the State of Oregon. We researched code books within the division to find this information, which we believe accurately identifies each code and its effective date.

Uniform Building Code (UBC)

Edition	Effective date
1973	July 1, 1974
1976	March 1, 1978
1979	July 1, 1980
1982	August 1, 1983
1985	July 1, 1986
1988	January 1, 1990
1991	January 1, 1993
1994	April 1, 1996
1997	October 1, 1998

Uniform Plumbing Code (UPC)

Edition	Effective date
1973	February 1, 1975
1976	January 1, 1978
1979	July 1, 1981
1980 (based on 1979)	October 13, 1984
1985	January 1, 1987
1988	January 1, 1990
1991	February 1, 1992
1994	April 1, 1996

National Electrical Code (NEC)

Edition	Effective date
1968	January 1, 1969
1971	May 1, 1972 (est.)
1975	July 1, 1975
1978	January 1, 1978
1981	October 1, 1981
1984	July 1, 1984
1987	May 1, 1987
1990	July 1, 1990
1993	July 1, 1993
1996	October 1, 1996

Uniform Mechanical Code (UMC)

Edition	Effective date
1973	July 1, 1974
1976	March 1, 1978
1979	July 1, 1980
1982	August 1, 1983
1985	May 1, 1986
1988	January 1, 1990
1991	January 1, 1993
1994	April 1, 1996

One & Two Family Dwelling Code (CABO)

Edition	Effective date
1983	May 1, 1986
1986	Not adopted
1989	April 1, 1990
1992	May 1, 1993
1992 modified	April 1, 1995
1995	April 1, 1996
Electrical NEC 70A	April 1, 1990
Electrical NFPA 70	April 1, 1996

Compliance report

The Building Codes Division is responsible for the enforcement of Manufactured Dwellings and Structures, Plumbing, Structural/Mechanical, Electrical and Boiler/Pressure Vessel Specialty Codes to protect the health and safety of the people of Oregon.

The Electrical and Elevator Board found the following violations of the Electrical Safety Law in June 1998:

CITY	NAME	VIOLATION	CIVIL PENALTY ASSESSED
Aloha	Ronald E. Dimmitt	No electrical contractor's license/ No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Aloha	Bryan Joseph York	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license (two violations)	\$1000
Bend	Mountain View Heating Inc.	No electrical contractor's license	\$250
Bend	Shellie A. Waite	No electrical contractor's license (four violations)	\$1000
Boring	Donald F. Schroeder dba Schroeder Enterprises aka Schroeder Electric	Allowed an unlicensed individual to make an electrical installation	\$500
Drain	Dale M. Stidham/DMS Technicians	No electrical contractor's license	\$500
Eugene	Allan R. Wooster dba Diversified Electronics	No electrical permit	\$250
Forest Grove	Jerry Mazgay dba Doctor Hammer	No electrical contractor's license/ No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Gresham	Charles Edward Dewald	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Hillsboro	Moore Communications Inc.	Allowed an unlicensed individual to make electrical installations (two violations)	\$1000
Keizer	Eric Pittsley	Allowed an unlicensed individual Northwest Phone & Security to make an electrical installation	\$500
Portland	Dryer & Sons Electric Co.	Allowed an unlicensed individual to make an electrical installation	\$500
Portland	Electrical Dimensions Inc.	No electrical permit	\$250
Portland	Branimir Jesic	No electrical supervisor's license/ Made unsafe electrical installation	\$750
Portland	Hydro-Temp Mechanical Inc.	No electrical permit (two violations)	\$500
Redmond	Allen A. Kirk	Permitted an unlicensed individual to make an electrical installation	\$500
Salem	Atom Electric Inc.	Allowed an unlicensed individual to make an electrical installation	\$500
Salem	John L. Hamstreet/Pangaea Construction	No electrical supervising license	\$250
Salem	Patrick C. McMillan	No electrical contractor's license/ dba Pat McMillan Construction No electrical supervising or journeyman's license (three violations)	\$1000
Salem	Daniel Wayne Sasser	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Salem	Scott Stephens	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license (two violations)	\$500
Salem	Steve A. Weems	Did not ensure a licensed individual made an electrical installation	\$250

CITY	NAME	VIOLATION	CIVIL PENALTY ASSESSED
Salem	Craig Welter	No electrical contractor's license	\$500
Scottsburg	Camp Fircroft Inc.	No electrical permit	No civil penalty
The Dalles	Thomas Joe Landry Jr. dba TNT Signs	No electrical contractor's license/ No electrical permit	\$500
Carnation, WA	Westpac Electric of Oregon Inc.	No electrical contractor's license	\$500
Graham, WA	ENT Electric Inc.	Allowed an unlicensed individual to make electrical installations (two violations)	\$1000
Longview, WA	Pacific Fibre Products Inc.	Did not employ a supervising electrician	\$250
Toutle, WA	Andy D. Hanson	Made an electrical installation without the supervision of a supervising electrician	\$250

The Electrical and Elevator Board found the following violations of the Electrical Safety Law in July 1998:

Albany	Garry Poe/Poe's Backhoe	No electrical contractor's license/ No electrical permit	\$500
Central Point	Joseph John Nuckolls New Stage Heating & Piping	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license/ Made unsafe electrical installation	\$750
Gates	Jonathan S. Morgan	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Medford	Monte Alan Landon Monte Landon Construction	No electrical contractor's license/ No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Milton-Freewater	Ed Shinner	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license/ No electrical permit	No civil penalty
Mosier	Brian E. Hefling dba Reejuvenations Remodeling	No electrical contractor's license/ No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Portland	Fred Roberts	No electrical contractor's license/No electrical permit	\$750
Portland	David Thurber D. B. Thurber Electrical Maintenance	Made unsafe electrical installation	\$500
Portland	Thyssen Elevator Corporation	Installed elevator without prior plan approval	\$250
Redmond	Gerald Kirk	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license (two violations)	\$1000
Salem	BLD Inc.	No electrical contractor's license/ No electrical permit	\$500
Salem	Anthony Stewart	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Salem	James G. Wales James Wales Construction	No electrical contractor's license/ No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Turner	Donald R. Montgomery	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Pomona, CA	William Ray Ivy	No electrical supervising or journeyman's license	\$500
Hamilton, OH	Mosler Inc.	No electrical permit	\$250

The Plumbing Board found the following violations of the Oregon Specialty Codes in June 1998:

Corvallis	Mark William Daughtry	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Eugene	Chapin Enterprises, Inc.	Employed an unlicensed individual to make a plumbing installation	\$500

CITY	NAME	VIOLATION	CIVIL PENALTY ASSESSED
Eugene	Thomas Ramirez	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Forest Grove	Jerry Mazgay	No plumbing business registration/ No journeyman's certification	\$1000
	dba Doctor Hammer		
Gresham	Scott D. Bird	Employed unlicensed individual to make a plumbing installation (third violation)	\$500
	dba Apollo Drain and Rooter Service		
Junction City	J.F. "Frank" Sorensen dba J.F. "Frank" Sorensen	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Keizer	Bruce G. Eastman	Advertised plumbing without a plumbing business registration	\$500
	dba Bruce Eastman Plumbing		
Lake Oswego	Jump Enterprises, Inc.	Advertised plumbing without a plumbing business registration	\$500
	dba Handyman Connection		
Lebanon	Alanna Weaver	No plumbing business registration (two violations)	\$1000
	dba A.W. Construction		
Lebanon	Edward Murphy	No journeyman's certification (two violations)	\$1000
	dba Ed Murphy Construction		
Portland	Anctil Sheet Metal, Inc.	No plumbing business registration	\$500
	dba Anctil Heating & Cooling Service		
Portland	William S. Porter	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Portland	Steve Fisher	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Roseburg	Nelson L. Hughes, Sr.	Advertised plumbing without a plumbing business registration	\$500
	dba Need It Done Nelson		
St. Helens	George Preston	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Salem	Scott Stephens	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Salem	Jerald L. Maxwell	Advertising plumbing without a plumbing business registration	\$500
Salem	Patrick C. McMillan	No journeyman's certification	\$500
	dba Pat McMillan Construction		
Scottsburg	Camp Fircroft, an Oregon Non-Profit Corporation	No plumbing permit	No civil penalty
South Beach	Warren G. Ferris dba Ferris Landscaping	No plumbing permit	\$500
Battle Ground, WA	Stephen A. Nelson	Employed an unlicensed individual to make a plumbing installation	\$500
	dba Nelson Plumbing Co.		
Battle Ground, WA	Carl Tapani	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Vancouver, WA	Skip Jay Horgen	No journeyman's certification	\$500

The Plumbing Board found the following violations of the Oregon Specialty Codes in August 1998:

Central Point	Joseph John Nuckolls	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Hermiston	Duwayne White	No plumbing permit	\$500
John Day	Pravin Patel dba Sunset Inn	No plumbing permit	\$500
Junction City	Douglas Gerald Alder	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Klamath Falls	Alfred H. Daugherty	No journeyman's certification	\$500
	dba Al Daugherty Construction		
Medford	Monte Alan Landon	No journeyman's certification	\$500
	dba Monte Landon Construction		
Molalla	Trouble Free Plumbing, Inc.	Employed an unlicensed individual to make a plumbing installation/No plumbing permit	\$1000

CITY	NAME	VIOLATION	CIVIL PENALTY ASSESSED
Mosier	Brian E. Hefling dba Reejuvenations Remodeling	No plumbing business registration/ No journeyman's certification	\$1000
Portland	Spicer Enterprises, Inc. dba Stan the Hot Waterman	No plumbing business registration/ No plumbing permit	\$1000
Salem	James G. Wales dba James Wales Construction	No plumbing business registration/ No journeyman's certification	\$1000
Salem	Michael J. Crandall	No Journeyman's certification	\$500
Salem	Ralph S. Benson/dba Ralph Benson Plumbing	No plumbing permit	\$500
Seneca	Donald K. McDermond	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Seneca	Vernon Beard	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Silverton	B. C. Plumbing, Inc.	Employed an unlicensed individual to make a plumbing installation	\$500
Battle Ground, WA	Stephen A Nelson dba Nelson Plumbing Co.	Employed an unlicensed individual to make a plumbing installation	\$500
Brush Prairie, WA	D & D Plumbing, Inc.	Employed an unlicensed individual to make a plumbing installation (two violations)	\$1000
Brush Prairie, WA	Raymond Hendrickson dba Ray's Plumbing	Employed an unlicensed individual to make a plumbing installation (two violations)	\$1000
Vancouver, WA	Harold Arvid Nelson	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Vancouver, WA	Jonathan Scott Ritola	No journeyman's certification	\$500
Vancouver, WA	Frank Charles Brace	No journeyman's certification (second violation)	\$1000

The Director found the following violations of the Manufactured Structures & Parks statutes and administrative rules in August 1998:

Astoria	Joseph M. Herman	No limited skirting installer's license	\$500
Bend	CBSL Corporation dba Country Boys Mobile Homes LaPine	Failed to call for inspections of manufactured dwelling	\$250
Keno	Eddie Wilcher Eddie Wilcher General & Masonry Construction	No manufactured dwelling installer's license	\$500
Klamath Falls	Western Homes Inc. dba High Desert homes	Failed to make corrections to manufactured dwelling	\$250
Lincoln City	Thomas J. Nugent	Employed an unlicensed individual to install manufactured dwelling (two violations)	\$1000
McMinnville	McMinnville Factory Homes Inc.	Failed to make corrections to storage of manufactured dwellings (12 violations)	\$3000
Redmond	Gerald F. Kirk	No manufactured dwelling installer's license (two violations)	\$1000
Stayton	Conestoga Homes Inc.	Failed to make corrections to storage of manufactured dwelling	\$500
Grandbury, TX	Gerald W. Parker	No manufactured dwelling installer's license	\$500

The Director found the following violations of the Oregon Specialty Codes in August 1998:

Albany	Harter Plumbing Inc.	No plumbing permit	\$250
Bend	Randy Stewart	No insignia of compliance for prefabricated structure	\$250
	RPS Construction		
Central Point	Joseph John Nuckolls	No electrical, plumbing or mechanical permits	\$750
	New Stage Heating & Piping		
Foster	William W. Langdon/Langdon Construction	No building permit	\$250
Hermiston	Brian Arriola	No foundation inspection (third violation)/	
	B & R Contractors	No framing inspection (second violation)	\$1000
Milwaukie	Horton Electric Company	No electrical permit	\$250
Mosier	Brian E. Hefling/Rejuvenations Remodeling	No electrical or plumbing permits	\$500
Oakland	Kevin M. O'Fay/Umpqua Builders Guild	No building permit	\$250
Pleasant Hill	Rainbow Pump Co. Inc.	No electrical permit	\$250
Portland	Adams Electric Company	No electrical permit	\$250
Portland	Anctil Sheet Metal Company	No plumbing permit	\$250
	dba Anctil Heating & Cooling Service		
Portland	Heil Electric Co.	No electrical permit	\$250
Portland	Clay Pruitt	No plumbing permit	\$250
Portland	David Thurber/D. B. Thurber Electrical Maintenance	No electrical permit	\$250
Redmond	Gerald F. Kirk	No electrical permit (two violations)	\$500
Salem	BLD Inc.	No building or mechanical permits	\$500
Salem	Interstate Investment Co.	No certificate of occupancy for commercial structure	\$250
Salem	Patrick C. McMillan	No electrical permits (two violations)/	
	Pat McMillan Construction	No plumbing permit	\$750
Salem	James G. Wales/James Wales Construction	No electrical or plumbing permit	\$500
Sandy	Joe Brewer	No plumbing permit	\$250
Seaside	Brian Keliher/Busy Bee Electric	No electrical permit	\$250
Spray	Aloha Medlock	No building permit	\$250
Grandbury, TX	Gerald W. Parker	No building permit	\$250

OMOA fall institute

Sun	Mon
1	2
8	9

The Oregon Mechanical Officials Association fall educational institute will be September 23-25 at the Holiday Inn in Wilsonville.

The three-day event will include classes in SMACNA commercial duct systems, water pipe sizing, sprinkler system inspection techniques, row house construction plan re-

view and inspection, fire alarm system plan review and inspection, fuel gas pipe sizing, and many other code-related items.

Registration deadline is September 10. Registration information and questions should be directed to Cory Cross, (503) 873-5384. ■

Deadline extended for 2000 OMDS proposals

The period for submitting code change proposals for the 2000 edition of the Oregon Manufactured Dwelling Standard (OMDS) has been extended to November 3, 1998. Copies of the code change form are on

pages 119 through 129 of the current OMDS. Forms may also be requested from BCD.

Proposals and requests for forms should be submitted to Louann Goffin, PO Box 14470, Salem, OR 97309-0404. ■

Fire Marshal's Round Table

Sun	Mon
1	2
8	9

The annual Fire Marshal's Round Table is scheduled for September 24-25 in Cascade Hall at the Oregon State Fairgrounds in Salem. The registration deadline is Sept. 13.

For more information, contact John Caul, (503) 373-1540, ext. 269, or Carol Robinson, (503) 373-1540, ext. 264. ■

Board meeting dates

MEETINGS ARE
HELD IN THE
SALEM BCD
CONFERENCE
ROOM AT 1535
EDGEWATER ST.
NW, UNLESS
OTHERWISE
SPECIFIED.

ELECTRICAL & ELEVATOR BOARD _____

Meets at 9:30 a.m. on the 4th Thursday of
each month:

- September 24
- October 22

BUILDING CODES STRUCTURES BOARD _____

Meets at 9:00 a.m. on the 1st Wednesday of
each month:

- September 2
- October 7

MANUFACTURED STRUCTURES & PARKS ADVISORY BOARD _____

Meets at 9:30 a.m. on the 2nd Thursday of
each quarter:

- October 8

STATE PLUMBING BOARD _____

Meets at 9:00 a.m. on the 3rd Friday of
every other month:

- October 16

BOARD OF BOILER RULES _____

Meets in Portland at 9:30 a.m. on the 1st
Tuesday of each quarter:

- December 1

CODE LINK
STATE OF OREGON • BUILDING CODES DIVISION

Subscription and address corrections

- Address correction — Send to:
BUILDING CODES DIVISION
1535 EDGEWATER ST. NW
PO BOX 14470
SALEM, OR 97309

- New subscription — Enclosed is
my check payable to DCBS for
\$25 for the calendar year 1998
(Jan. – Dec.) subscription.

Send to:

DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER
& BUSINESS SERVICES
FISCAL SECTION
350 WINTER ST. NE, ROOM 21
SALEM, OR 97310

Name: _____

Title/Company: _____

Address: _____

City/State/ZIP: _____

Phone: (_____) _____

DEPARTMENT USE ONLY 1087/70050

Check rule updates on Web



All state agency administrative rules are on the Web at <http://arcweb.sos.state.or.us>. However, please note that the 1998 compilation published by the Secretary of State contains rules filed through November 14, 1997, only. Rule changes since that date are printed in their entirety in the *Oregon Bulletin* the month they are filed. Therefore, to be sure you are using the latest version of any rule, you must check each *Bulletin* for changes. There is a link to the *Oregon Bulletin* from the Website mentioned above. If you want to use the Web, check the date of filing on the hard copy to determine the month of printing in the *Bulletin*.

We have received numerous questions about "differences" in our rules. Permanent rules are usually mailed to building officials within two weeks of filing.

If you do not receive the *Oregon Bulletin*, you may want to subscribe. Subscriptions cost \$75 for a year (12 issues, Jan.-Dec.). To subscribe, call Julie Yamoka at Archives, (503) 373-0701, ext. 240. You may also purchase single hard-copy issues of *Oregon Bulletin* for \$7.50 each. ■

440-2666 (8/98/COM)

CODE LINK

STATE OF OREGON • BUILDING CODES DIVISION

CodeLink is the bimonthly publication of the Oregon Department of Consumer & Business Services Building Codes Division.

Editor

Louann Goffin

Design & Layout

DCBS Communications

BCD Administrator

Joseph A. Brewer III



In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), this publication is available in alternative formats.

Call the editor, (503) 373-7438.

Information in *CodeLink* may be republished without permission.

Visit our Internet Web site at <http://www.cbs.state.or.us/external/bcd>



Building Codes Division

1535 Edgewater St. NW
PO Box 14470
Salem, OR 97309

Bulk Rate
U.S. Postage
PAID
Salem, OR
Permit No. 24

Address Correction Requested