



# Oregon

John A. Kitzhaber, MD, Governor

Department of Consumer and Business Services  
Building Codes Division  
1535 Edgewater Street NW  
P.O. Box 14470  
Salem, OR 97309-0404  
503-378-4133  
Fax: 503-378-2322  
[www.bcd.oregon.gov](http://www.bcd.oregon.gov)

May 31, 2011

*Oregon Reach Code Committee*  
*May 11, 2011*  
**Meeting Summary**

**\*\*NOTE:** *The following is a summary of the committee's discussion.*  
*To review the meeting in its entirety, the archived video of the meeting is available*  
*on the committee's Web site at the following link: <http://www.bcd.oregon.gov/committees/11reachcode.html>*

- Members Present:** Bill Clemens, Oregon Building Officials Association (OBOA)  
David Cohan, Northwest Energy Efficiency Alliance (NEEA)  
Jim Denno, Oregon Department of Energy (ODOE)  
*Via phone*, Tom Payne, Oregon Home Builders Association (OHBA)  
Kate Turpin, SERA Architects
- Members Absent:** Franco Martinec, Port of Portland  
Rob Yorke, Associated Builders & Contractors (ABC)  
Joe Esmonde, International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW)
- Guests Present:** Johnathan Balkema, Oregon Home Builders Association  
Don Miner, Oregon Manufactured Home Association,  
Shawn Wood, City of Portland  
Alisa Kane, City of Portland  
Joshua Klyber, Recode Oregon
- Staff Present:** Aeron Teverbaugh, Policy Analyst  
Mark Heizer, Policy Analyst  
Dana Fischer, Building Code Para-Technical

### **Introductions**

Aeron Teverbaugh, Policy Analyst, called the meeting to order at 1:30p.m. She welcomed the committee and guests and apologized for the late start. She recapped the Construction Industry Energy Board (CIEB) meeting that was held just prior to this meeting, where the Board reviewed the commercial provisions of the Reach Code. She explained what the Board approved, approved as modified and disapproved.

### **Code Change Proposal [RC11res-26](#)**

Mark Heizer recapped the modifications made to this proposal that have been incorporated into the draft provisions. He explained the changes are what the committee requested BCD to make.

**Table 301.1(1)**

Mr. Heizer explained staff took a look at the table to see if the calculations work out correctly if applied to the code and that a few minor adjustments were needed to make the calculations work.

**Motion** to approve as modified Table 301.1(1).

*Motion passed unanimously.*

**Section 402**

Ms. Teverbaugh explained the modifications and the committee agreed to them. No motion was needed.

**Code Change Proposal [RC11res-23](#)**

Mathew Lippincott representing ReCode Oregon explained the proposal to the committee. The committee discussed at length the proposal and potential issues.

**Motion** to disapprove code change proposal RC11res-23.

Discussion continued.

*Motion passed unanimously.*

The committee continued to discuss the proposal and how in the future it could work in the State of Oregon.

**Code Change Proposal [RC11res-16](#)**

Motion to disapprove code change proposal RC11res-16

*Motion passed unanimously.*

**Code Change Proposal [RC11res-11](#)**

**Motion** to disapprove code change proposal RC11res-11.

*Motion passed unanimously.*

**Code Change Proposals [RC11res-04](#), [RC11res-06](#), [RC11res-07](#), [RC11res-08](#)**

Shawn Wood representing City of Portland explained the proposals. Since the original proposals were based on ICC700, and the committee disapproved using that particular standard in the Reach Code, Mr. Wood provided new [modified language](#) that reflects using the IGCC instead.

**Motion** to disapprove code change proposals RC11res-04, RC11res-06, RC11res-07 and RC11res-08.

*Motion passed unanimously.*

Discussion continued.

**Code Change Proposal [RC11res-05](#)**

Mr. Wood withdrew code change proposal RC11res-05.

**Code Change Proposal [RC11res-25](#)**

Joshua Klyber representing ReCode Oregon explained the proposal to the committee. The committee discussed the proposal. The committee asked for additional documentation on wall evaluations and/or approved engineering data which would help to support and prove the wall assembly has been tested appropriately.

**TABLED:** the code proposal was tabled pending receiving additional research documentation from the proponent. The committee recommend for BCD staff forward the proposal to the OSSC advisory group for review and their opinion.

**Adjourn**

The meeting adjourned at 3:30 p.m.