



Oregon

Theodore R. Kulongoski, Governor

Department of Consumer and Business Services

Building Codes Division

1535 Edgewater Street NW

PO Box 14470

Salem, OR 97309-0404

(503) 378-4133

FAX (503) 378-2322

bcd.oregon.gov

September 25, 2009

***Oregon Structural Specialty Code Committee
Wednesday, July 22, 2009
Meeting Summary***

****NOTE:** *The following is a summary of the committee's discussion.*

To review the meeting in its entirety, the archived video of the meeting is available on the committee's Web site at the following link: <http://www.bcd.oregon.gov/committees/10ossc.html>

Members Present: (Chair) Terrence Dieter, Intel
(Vice-chair) Gary Lampella, Oregon Building Officials Association (OBOA) –
via teleconference from 9:13 a.m. to 11:35 a.m. and 1:45 p.m. to 3:15 p.m.
Andy Dykeman, Lease Crutcher Lewis
George McCart, Oregon Disabilities Commission
John Kitchin, Building Owners and Managers Association (BOMA) – for Susan
Steward, BOMA –*Left at 12:00 p.m.*

Members Absent: Eric McMullen, Fire Marshal
John Rakowitz, Associated General Contractors (AGC)
Jim Rimenschneider, Structural Engineers Association of Oregon (SEAO)

Guests Present: Anthony Barsotti, OR-OSHA Construction Advisory Committee (CAC)
David Kaiser, CAC
Scott Rice, Klamath County Fire District
Susan Steward, BOMA
James Bela, Oregon Earthquake Awareness
Carl Lee, Masonry and Ceramic Tile Institute of Oregon (Midctio)
Jed Sampson, SEAO
David Nilles, SEAO

Staff Present: Richard Rogers, Structural Program Chief
Shauna Parker, Rules Coordinator
Dana Fischer, Building Code Para-Technical

Chair Dieter called the meeting to order at 9:13 a.m.

Review following new amendments public code change proposals:

Code Proposal #OSSC 10-04 Fall Protection

Anthony Barsotti, OR-OSHA Construction Advisory Committee, thanked the committee for their diligence to an open public meeting process. He then explained the new changes to the proposal by reviewing a [worksheet](#) that addresses 12 of the committee's concerns and provides proposed solutions. He explained each change on a section by section basis.

The committee discussed the code provisions, noting their concern that some of the language appears to be too ambiguous. They also discussed the statutory requirements for engineered buildings, explaining that some of the requirements may contradict statute and recommending that the square foot requirements used in statute may be the most appropriate way to go.

Richard Rogers, structural program chief, explained the threshold requirements for engineered buildings as required by statute. He noted that, generally, if a contractor is not using a prescriptive method of construction then engineering is required.

Chief Rogers also asked about the requirements proposed for Section 1501.2.1, questioning the applicability of the requirement because parapets are not specifically defined. He suggested clarifying the requirements by referencing Sections 1013.2 and 1013.3 specifically, rather than the entire chapter in general.

The committee and Mr. Barsotti agreed with Chief Rogers' recommendation to clarify the proposed parapet requirements in Section 1501.2.1. They then went on to address the exceptions included in Section 1501.2.1. The committee discussed the terms and requirements of parapets, obstruction, and roof edges. They then discussed how those items relate to the language included in the proposal.

James Bela, Oregon Earthquake Awareness, stated his support for the proposal and fall protection systems in general. He asked the committee to approve the proposal and allow it to go forward to public hearing.

The committee briefly discussed special inspections and third party inspectors, evaluating how this proposal would impact the current special inspection process.

Mr. Barsotti noted his willingness to work with stakeholders between now and the committee's final meeting in August.

Chair Dieter stated the proposal still needs some work and asked Mr. Barsotti to continue to work on the proposal and bring it back at the committee's last meeting on August 6 in hopes of forwarding the proposal to a public hearing.

*The committee agreed to keep the code proposal **TABLED**.*

Code Amendment Proposal #OSSC 10-45 – BCD requests reconsideration dealing with Public Restrooms

Chief Rogers requested a point of order and asked the committee to reconsider their actions relating to public restrooms in code amendment proposal #OSSC 10-45.

Motion to reconsider the committee's previous action on code amendment proposal #OSSC 10-45.
Motion carried unanimously.

(Note: The committee approved proposal #OSSC 10-45 at their June 25, 2009 meeting. Please refer to the [meeting minutes](#) for their discussion.)

Susan Steward, BOMA, stated their concern with Section 2902.4 that allows *visitors* as a member of the public to use a restroom in private facility. She noted BOMA feels it is up to the building owner to

determine who has access to their building, stating that such a requirement should not be included in the building code.

Motion to strike the word “visitors” in Section 2902.4 of the proposal.

Motion carried unanimously.

Review Existing Statewide Alternate Methods

Chief Rogers explained that the division is requesting the alternate method for the IEBC be brought forward to this code cycle. He noted code amendment proposals were submitted to amend the IEBC as part of the normal code adoption process and will be used to amend and update the provisions of the existing alternate method to the 2009 version.

Break.

Alternate Method #08-05- IEBC

Jed Sampson and David Nilles, both from SEA0, reviewed the proposals submitted to amend the existing statewide alternate method containing the provisions for the IEBC. Mr. Nilles discussed the process and explained the reasoning behind each proposed amendment.

101.5, Compliance methods

Mr. Nilles explained the proposal aligns the IEBC language with common IBC language directing new construction that is added to an existing building to comply with the current building code rather than requiring compliance with the code in effect at the time of the building’s original construction.

101.5.4.1, Compliance with IBC level seismic forces

Mr. Nilles explained the proposed change incorporates errata issued by ICC.

101.5.4.2, Compliance with reduced IEBC level seismic forces

Mr. Nilles explained the proposal simply carries forward provisions of the existing alternate method and incorporate errata changes issued by ICC.

302.1, 303.1, 304.1, & 307.1, General

Mr. Nilles explained the prescriptive compliance methods of the IEBC need to match those same requirements in the IBC, noting they need to be the same in both places.

502.2, New & replacement materials

Mr. Nilles explained the amendment proposal deletes the second sentence for consistency, and simply carries forward provisions in the existing statewide alternate method.

506.1, General

Mr. Nilles explained the amendment proposal removes the word “detailing,” so that the structural members must meet all the requirements in the IEBC rather than just the detailing provisions.

506.2.1, Repairs for less than substantial damages

Mr. Nilles explained the amendment proposal deletes references to the IEBC and inserts new text referencing the IBC, carrying forward provisions in the existing statewide alternate method.

506.2.2.2, Extent of repair for compliant buildings

Mr. Nilles explained the amendment proposal deletes references to the IEBC and inserts new text referencing the IBC, carrying forward provisions in the existing statewide alternate method.

506.2.2.3, Extent of repair for non-compliant buildings

Mr. Nilles explained the amendment proposal deletes references to the IEBC and inserts new text referencing the IBC, carrying forward provisions in the existing statewide alternate method.

606.2, Addition or replacement of roofing or replacement equipment

Mr. Nilles explained the proposal incorporated errata issued by the ICC and carries forward other provisions included in the existing statewide alternate method.

George McCart asked for clarification as to whether this section impacts the specific requirements for reroofing. Specifically if when tearing down to the structure, damage to the structure must be fixed before reroofing continues.

Chief Rogers explained that the reroofing requirements Mr. McCart referred to will not be affected by this proposal.

606.3, Additional requirements for reroof permits

Mr. Nilles explained the proposal incorporated errata issued by the ICC and carries forward other provisions included in the existing statewide alternate method by eliminating bearing wall references, correcting code references, and inserting references to the IBC.

807.4.2, Substantial structural alteration

Mr. Nilles explained the proposal carries forward provisions included in the existing statewide alternate method, by requiring compliance with full code level forces and not reduced code level forces.

807.4.3, Limited structural alteration

Mr. Nilles explained the proposal carries forward provisions included in the existing statewide alternate method, by requiring compliance with full code level forces and not reduced code level forces.

901.3.1, Partial change of occupancy classification

Mr. Nilles explained the proposal carries forward provisions included in the existing statewide alternate method and closes a loophole by referencing a chapter in IBC

907.3.1, Compliance with IBC level seismic forces

Mr. Nilles explained the proposal carried forward provisions included in the existing statewide alternate method.

Jim Kitchin noted there are several of these items that BOMA needs to review with its membership, as there may be cost implications.

Chief Rogers further explained the use of an alternate method and provided background on why the IEBC was adopted as an alternate method.

The committee agreed to **TABLE** this in order to allow BOMA time to follow up with their stakeholders.

Review following new amendments public code change proposals:

Code Proposals #OSSC10-30 through #OSSC10-34 Chapter 34 Existing Buildings

Chief Rogers reviewed each proposal and discussed the [handout](#) depicting how the provisions in the model code Chapter 34 and the existing Oregon amendment line up. He offered a friendly amendment to code proposal #OSSC 10-30 by suggesting the addition of “except for structural repairs or alterations” because this section is strictly dealing with repairs and alterations.

The committee briefly discussed the IEBC and IBC Chapter 34, discussing how each relates to and covers provisions in existing buildings.

Chief Rogers also offered an amendment to code proposals #OSSC 10-31 and #OSSC 10-34 by suggesting the language be changed to read “in accordance with this code for new construction” rather than referring to the code itself.

Representatives from SEAO agreed with Chief Rogers’ amendment to their proposal.

These items were **TABLED** when the committee took action on Chapter 34 (*See discussion below on EA 07-34, which tabled all Chapter 34 provisions.*)

Code Proposal #OSSC 10-42 – Chapter 34 Existing Buildings

Chief Rogers included an amendment to this proposal to strike “IEBC” and include the language referencing the latest edition of the existing alternate method dealing with the IEBC.

This item was **TABLED** when the committee took action on Chapter 34 (*See discussion below on EA 07-34, which tabled all Chapter 34 provisions.*)

Review following existing Oregon amendments:

EA07-34 regarding Chapter 34, Existing Structures

Chief Rogers continued the review of the Chapter 34 provisions.

Motion to approve existing Oregon amendment #EA 07-34.

Discussion on the motion...

Mr. Bela stated his opposition to using the IEBC for seismic reasons, noting that he feels the IEBC is only valid for fire and life safety exiting provisions.

VOTE: 4-1

No- Kitchin

Motion carried.

Mr. Kitchin explained that BOMA would like some time to speak with their stakeholders about the provisions in Chapter 34 before approving the requirements.

Motion to reconsider the prior motion that approved the existing Oregon amendment #EA 07-34.

Motion carried unanimously.

Gary Lampella left the meeting at 11:35 a.m.

The committee agreed to **TABLE** existing Oregon amendment #EA 07-34. They further agreed that by tabling the existing Oregon amendment for Chapter 34, all the code amendment proposals dealing with Chapter 34 are also tabled.

**EA07-18 Soils and Foundations,
EA07-21 Masonry,
and, EA07-23 Wood**

Chief Rogers reviewed and discussed [SEAO's recommendations](#) for the existing Oregon amendments in Chapters 18, 21, and 23.

Mr. Bela stated that he felt existing statewide amendments should not be carried forward each code cycle, but rather that they should be resubmitted each time as new code amendment proposals, as was the practice several years ago.

Chief Rogers reviewed the process and clarified that existing statewide amendments were submitted as new code amendment proposals when the national model code used as the base code for Oregon switched from the UBC to the IBC. He noted past practice has always been to carry the amendments forward for review and recommendation by the board.

Motion to approve existing Oregon amendments #EA 07-18 through #EA 07-23, up to and including Section 2304.11.2.2.

Motion carried unanimously.

Lunch break.

Jim Kitchin left at the lunch break.

Review following substantial model code changes:

SECTION 419, LIVE/WORK UNITS – CHAPTER 11 CORRELATION

Chief Rogers briefly reviewed the recommended changes for correlating the new live/work requirements with the accessibility requirements in Oregon's Chapter 11.

Motion to approve staff changes to Section 419 correlating Chapter 11 requirements.

Motion carried unanimously.

Chair Dieter noted that only three committee members (Chair Dieter, Andy Dykeman, and George McCart) were present upon returning from lunch, explaining that those members that were absent for any meeting will be asked to review and validate the committee's actions during the final meeting in August.

SECTION 915, EMERGENCY RADIO RESPONDERS

Chief Rogers reviewed the previous discussion held by the committee, noting that BCD Proposal #OSSC 10-48 addresses the concerns with this section. He explained the division worked with the fire code committee to come to a consensus on this section.

Motion to approve the changes to Section 915.

Motion carried unanimously.

BCD Proposal OSSC 10-48 for Section 915, Emergency Responder Radio Coverage

Chief Rogers explained BCD's recommendation for this section, noting that both the fire code committee and Office of the State Fire Marshal agreed with the proposed changes.

Motion to approve BCD Proposal #OSSC 10-48.

Motion carried unanimously.

SECTION 1609.6, ALTERNATE WIND DESIGN

Chief Rogers noted that if the committee approves this substantial model code change, the existing Oregon amendment for this section will be removed.

Motion to approve the substantial model code changes to Section 1609.6.

Motion carried unanimously.

SECTION 1614, HIGH RISE STRUCTURAL REQUIREMENT

Chief Rogers reviewed the substantial model code change, noting the requirement came from the NIST report. He noted he had not heard back from SEAO regarding their position on this section.

*The committee agreed to **TABLE** this item in order to follow up with SEAO on their recommendation.*

Review following editorial and non substantive model code changes:

CHAPTER 1, ADMINISTRATION

Chief Rogers explained Chapter 1 will need to be discussed at the last meeting.

The committee agreed to leave Chapter 1 tabled.

SECTION 408 GROUP I-3 OCCUPANCIES

Chief Rogers reviewed the [handout](#) relating to this section, explaining the smoke alarm and ventilation changes in this section are substantial model code changes to Section 408, and, if approved, will also impact the existing Oregon amendments for Chapters 2 and 4 (EA07-02 and EA07-04). He also discussed DOC's recommendations for this section.

EA07-02 Definitions relative to Section 408

(See the committee's discussion above)

EA07-04 Section 408

(See the committee's discussion above)

Motion to approve the substantial model code changes to Section 408, including existing Oregon amendments #EA 07-02 and #EA 07-04, while noting that the non-substantive changes had previously been approved by the committee.

Motion carried unanimously.

SECTION 705.2 PROJECTIONS

The committee agreed to defer consideration of this section until vice-chair Lampella is present for the discussion.

CHAPTER 18 SOILS AND FOUNDATIONS

Chief Rogers noted that the division is still awaiting a recommendation from SEAO and the soil people regarding this chapter.

The committee agreed to leave Chapter 18 tabled.

Review following existing Oregon amendments:

EA07-10 for Chapter 10, Roof access

Chief Rogers explained the existing Oregon amendment for the roof hatch length came from the Portland Fire Bureau, who explained that the eight foot roof hatch is the minimum space they need for a firefighter to get through the hatch while wearing their equipment.

Motion to approve the existing Oregon amendment #EA07-10 for roof hatch access in section 1009.11.1.

Motion carried unanimously.

EA07-16 regarding Chapter 16, Basic Wind Speeds

Chief Rogers explained that the division conferred with SEAO and discussed the need for an update in the wind speed formula to the higher values of 85, 95, and 105, respectively, as the committee discussed at the last meeting.

Motion to approve existing Oregon amendment #EA07-16, with the change to increase the wind speed requirements as noted above.

Motion carried unanimously.

Existing Oregon Amendments #EA07-29 through #EA07-32

EA07-29 regarding Chapter 29, Plumbing Systems

Chief Rogers discussed the existing Oregon amendment for Section 2904, explaining the fixture count requirements in the section. He noted this provision should be brought forward.

EA07-30 regarding Chapter 30, Elevators and Conveyor Systems

Chief Rogers explained that these changes were run by the elevator chief and there were no problems with the requirements.

EA07-31 regarding Chapter 31, Special Construction

Chief Rogers noted the sections in Chapter 31 referring to commercial pools are modified because, in Oregon, they are not regulated by the building code and are instead regulated by the Oregon Department of Human Services (DHS).

EA07-32 regarding Chapter 32, Encroachments

Chief Rogers noted the existing amendments in Chapter 32 deal with public-right-of way issues and should be carried forward.

Motion to approve existing Oregon amendments #EA07-29 through EA 07-32.
Motion carried unanimously.

Existing Oregon Amendments #EA07-35 through #EA07-48
Chief Rogers reviewed each existing Oregon amendment with the committee. The committee briefly discussed each amendment and made the following decisions:

EA07-35 regarding Chapter 35, Referenced Standards
Motion to approve existing Oregon amendment #EA07-35.
Motion carried unanimously.

EA07-36 regarding Appendix A, Employee Qualifications
Chief Rogers explained that these provisions are not adopted in Oregon, so the Oregon amendment deletes the model code provisions.

Gary Lampella was reconnected via teleconference at 1:45 p.m.

EA07-37 regarding Appendix B, Board of Appeals
Chief Rogers explained that these provisions are not adopted in Oregon, so the Oregon amendment deletes the model code provisions.

EA07-38 regarding Appendix C, Group U Agricultural Buildings
Chief Rogers discussed that those agricultural building that do not qualify for the statutory exemption can still be covered under this appendix.

EA07-39 regarding Appendix D, Fire Districts
Chief Rogers explained that these provisions are not adopted in Oregon, so the Oregon amendment deletes the model code provisions.

EA07-40 regarding Appendix E, Supplementary Accessibility Requirements
Chief Rogers explained that these provisions are not adopted in Oregon, so the Oregon amendment deletes the model code provisions.

EA07-41 regarding Appendix F, Rodent Proofing
Chief Rogers explained that these provisions are not adopted in Oregon, so the Oregon amendment deletes the model code provisions.

EA07-42 regarding Appendix G, Flood Resistant Construction
Chief Rogers discussed how local municipalities can adopt the requirements in this appendix, but they are not adopted statewide.

EA07-43 regarding Appendix H, Signs
Chief Rogers explained that these provisions are not adopted in Oregon, so the Oregon amendment deletes the model code provisions.

EA07-44 regarding Appendix I, Patio Covers
Chief Rogers explained that the provisions in this appendix are adopted in Oregon without change.

EA07-45 regarding Appendix J, Grading

Chief Rogers explained that the provisions in this appendix are adopted by Oregon for optional use in municipalities.

EA07-46 regarding Appendix K, ICC Electric Code

Chief Rogers explained that these provisions are not adopted in Oregon, so the Oregon amendment deletes the model code provisions.

EA07-47 regarding Appendix L, Wildfire Hazard Mitigation

Chief Rogers explained that the provisions in this appendix are adopted by Oregon for optional use in municipalities.

EA07-48 regarding Appendix SR, Group SR Special Residence Occupancy

Chief Rogers explained that the provisions in this appendix are adopted in Oregon without change.

Motion to approve existing Oregon amendments #EA07-36 through #EA07-48.

Motion carried unanimously

Review following new amendments public code change proposals:

Code Proposal #OSSC10-03 – Fire Proofing

The committee agreed to defer discussion on this proposal until the last meeting.

Code Proposal #OSSC10-35 – Table 601 Non-bearing Wall Fire Rating

The committee agreed to defer discussion on this proposal until the last meeting.

Code Proposal #OSSC10-43 – Chapter 11 Accessible Handrails

and BCD Proposal #OSSC10-49 – Chapter 11 Handrail Coordination with Chapter 10

Chief Rogers explained that BCD would recommend not approving code amendment proposal # OSSC 10-43 and approving BCD’s proposal #OSSC 10-49, which coordinates the requirements across the chapters.

Motion to disapprove code amendment proposal #OSSC 10-43 and approve BCD proposal #OSSC 10-49 instead.

Motion carried unanimously.

Review following New BCD amendment proposals:

BCD Proposal #OSSC10-47 – Fuel Storage Requirements for Emergency Generators

Motion to approve BCD Proposal #OSSC 10-47.

Motion carried unanimously.

Review Existing Statewide Alternate Methods

Chief Rogers pointed out the [handout](#) prepared by staff to serve as a guide for the committee to follow when reviewing the existing statewide alternate methods.

Alternate Method #05-02, Alternate method of construction for Dialysis Facilities

Chief Rogers noted that the division spoke with Roger Severson and the provisions in the existing statewide alternate method are more specific than the 2009 IBC outpatient requirements. Chief Rogers stated that because of this, he would recommend bringing forward the alternate method.

Motion to approve existing statewide alternate method #05-02.
Motion carried unanimously.

Alternate Method #07-01, Child care in M occupancies

Motion to approve existing statewide alternate method #07-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

Alternate Method #08-01, Snow load methodology

Motion to rescind existing statewide alternate method #08-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

Alternate Method #08-02, Power actuated fasteners

Motion to approve existing statewide alternate method #08-02.
Motion carried unanimously.

**Alternate Method #08-03, Screw anchors
and Alternate Method #08-04, Adhesive anchors**

Motion to rescind both existing statewide alternate method #08-03 and #08-04.
Motion carried unanimously.

Alternate Method #08-05, International Existing Building Code

Motion to approve all *nonstructural* provisions of existing statewide alternate method #08-05 and update reference to 2009 IEBC
Motion carried unanimously.

Review Existing Statewide Interpretations

Chief Rogers reviewed each existing statewide interpretation with the committee. The committee briefly discussed each interpretation and made the following decisions:

ESI 08-01, Use of Exterior Insulation and Finish Systems (EIFS)

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 08-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

**ESI 08-02, Agricultural Exemption
and ESI 08-03, Equine Exemption**

Motion to approve both existing statewide interpretation #ESI 08-02 and #ESI 08-03.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 08-04, Sprinklers in F-q Woodworking facilities

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 08-04.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 07-01, Stories with one exit

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 07-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 07-02, Replacement of existing glazing

Mr. McCart noted that the UV factors need to be upgraded in this interpretation if approved.

Chief Rogers stated that the factors will be reviewed and coordinated with the energy committee's recommendations.

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 07-02 and upgrade the energy references.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 07-03, Stacked decks

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 07-03.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 07-04, Suspended ceiling installation – revised

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 07-04.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 07-05, Continuity of exist enclosures

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 07-05.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 07-06, Areas of rescue assistance on ground floor

Mr. McCart noted he has a problem with this interpretation.

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 07-06.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 07-07, Expansion of malls of type V construction

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 07-07.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 06-01, Landing measurements

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 06-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 06-02, Scope of dressing and fitting room provisions

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 06-02.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 06-03, Sprinkler system installations in buildings with fire walls

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 06-03.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 06-04, Equine facilities

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 06-04.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 06-05, 1109.14.2 Single station alarms/ADA

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 06-05.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 05-01, 1103.2.3.2 Detectable warnings at the vehicular way

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 05-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 05-02, 503 Establishing allowable area

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 05-02.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 05-03, Special inspections for seismic resistance

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 05-03.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 05-04, Moisture proofing in toilet rooms

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 05-04.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 04-01, 302 Classification of fire stations

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 04-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 04-02, 1702.1 Periodic special inspections

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 04-02.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 03-01, 1312.2.1 Averaging insulation R-value for sloped roof insulation, and ESI 03-02, 1312.2.2 Values obtained from simplified trade off method

Motion to rescind both existing statewide interpretation #ESI 03-01 and #ESI 03-02
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 97-01, Yurts used as recreational structures

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 97-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 95-01, Access to swimming pools, hot tubs, and spas

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 95-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 95-02, Whole building approach

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 95-02.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 95-03, Simplified trade off approach

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 95-03.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 95-04, Disabled access to church baptisteries

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 95-04.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 94-01, Building within a building

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 94-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 94-02, Insulation in zero-lot-line walls

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 94-02.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 94-03, Acceptable installation of wall batt insulation

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 94-03.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 94-04, Acceptable window and door sealing methods

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 94-04.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 94-05, Product approvals and listing agencies

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 94-05.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 94-06, Acceptable fiberglass wall insulation in rim joists

Mr. McCart pointed out that some of this interpretation refers to the ORSC. He asked if the recommendation in the handout is to delete the interpretation in its entirety or just the structural provisions.

Chief Rogers clarified that the two codes should be separated out, so this committee would only be considering the structural provisions contained in the interpretation.

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 94-06 for the OSSC provisions only.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 94-07, Rational design of structures not using conventional wood framing

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 94-07.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 94-08, Railroad right of way as required yards

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 94-08.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 93-01, Structures on state, federal, or other property

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 93-01.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 93-02, Special inspector certification

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 93-02.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 92-03, One percent decorative or unique architectural glazing

Motion to rescind existing statewide interpretation #ESI 92-03.
Motion carried unanimously.

ESI 92-04, Underfloor insulation inspection

Motion to approve existing statewide interpretation #ESI 92-04 for OSSC portion only.

Motion carried unanimously.

Review following existing Oregon amendments:

Existing Oregon Amendment #EA07-10, Rooms within healthcare

Chief Rogers noted that model code has picked up the provisions included in the Oregon amendment for Section 1008.1.2, so the amendment for that section can be deleted.

Motion to disapprove the existing Oregon amendment for Section 1008.1.2.

Motion carried unanimously.

Chair Dieter adjourned the meeting at 3:15 p.m.

Note: *The below list is provided for the reader's reference and contains frequently used acronyms found in the meeting summary.*

Frequently Used Acronyms:

ADA	Americans with Disabilities Act	NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
BCD	Building Codes Division	OBOA	Oregon Building Officials Association
BOMA	Building Owners and Manager's Association	OESC	Oregon Electrical Specialty Code
DHS	Oregon Department of Human Services	OR- OSHA	Oregon's Occupational Safety and Health Division
DOC	Oregon Department of Corrections	ORSC	Oregon Residential Specialty Code
EIFS	Exterior Insulation and Finishing Systems	OSSC	Oregon Structural Specialty Code
IBC	International Building Code	SEAO	Structural Engineers Association of Oregon
ICC	International Code Council	UBC	Universal Building Code
IEBC	International Existing Building Code	UV	Ultraviolet
IRC	International Residential Code		